UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,358	11/24/2004	Hacene Lahreche	15675P556	2705
Blakely Sokolo	7590 01/11/2007 off Taylor & Zafman	EXAMINER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			SONG, MATTHEW J	
7th Floor Los Angeles, C	CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/516,358	LAHRECHE ET	AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	T
		Matthew J. Song	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover st	neet with the correspondence a	ddress
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COM 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .		
2a)□		☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	_	al matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice	•	• •	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		on.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•	
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	ent.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - - - - -		
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		ted to by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th			CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have	been received in this Nationa	al Stage
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copie	es not received.	
	•			
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)	
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ner:	
•				

Application/Control Number: 10/516,358

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-23 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim the claims dependent from multiple dependant claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-23 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kub et al (US 2003/0064535 A1) in view of Beaumont et al (US 6,325,850).

Art Unit: 1722

Kub et al teaches a method of growing an epitaxial GaN layer on a substrate; implanting hydrogen ions into the GaN layer and heating to split the GaN layer along the implant layer ([0026]-[0034].

Kub et al does not teach the deposition of GaN comprises at least one step of epitaxial lateral overgrowth.

In a method of epitaxial lateral overgrowth of GaN, note entire reference, Beaumont et al teaches the deposition of a layer of GaN; deposition a dielectric layer, which is etched; deposition of GaN in the openings and lateral growth until the patterns coalesce (col 3, ln 1-45 and Example 1). Beaumont et al also teaches these surfaces resulting from the coalescence of islands exhibit superior crystal quality to the layers grown heteroepitaxially on sapphire (col 4, ln 15-45) and lower defect density (col 9, ln 1-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kub et al by using the lateral overgrowth process of forming GaN, as taught by Beaumont et al, to produce a GaN with superior crystal properties and reduced defect density.

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches growing GaN ('535 [0037] and '850 col 3, ln 1-30), implanting H ions into GaN ('535 [0037]), and growing GaN thereon via lateral growth ('850 col 3, ln 1-30). The combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al also teaches separation by heating ('850 col 5, ln 1-10 and '535 [0039]).

Referring to claim 3, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches vapor phase ELO ('850 col 4, ln 20-45).

Referring to claims 4 and 16, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches HVPE ('850 col 4, ln 20-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,358

Art Unit: 1722

on control (value c). 10/3/10,33

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches deposition of GaN; deposition of a dielectric layer, which is etched; deposition of GaN; deposition in opening until the growth coalesces ('850 col 3, ln 1-35 and Example 1).

Page 4

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches deposition of SiN, deposition of GaN and annealing at 1080°C so that the continuous layer converts to a discontinuous layer formed of GaN and growing GaN thereon ('850 col 8, ln 20 to col 9, ln 40). The combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al does not teach a SiN thickness of 10-20 nm, however it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al by optimizing the thickness of SiN to obtain GaN islands of a desired shape and size for subsequent growth.

Referring to claim 7, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al does not teach when the implantation occurs, however it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al by implanting after total coalescent of the these islands because separating a complete film is taught by Kub et al.

Referring to claim 8, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches hydrogen ions, this clearly suggests H⁺.

Referring to claims 9-11, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches hydrogen ions at a concentration of $5x10^{16}$ cm⁻² using 160 keV ('535 [0067]).

Referring to claim 12, Kub et al discloses forming GaN, implanting, then bonding to a transfer substrate, and annealing to separate. Kub et al does not teach separation is implemented by a return to ambient temperature after the resumption of epitaxy. Kub et al does teach

Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

separation by heating to 500-800°C ('535 [0034]). Beaumont et al teaches a self supported epitaxial layer after the substrate has been separated (col 5, ln 1-10) and epitaxial deposition at 1080°C (col 6, ln 50-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kub et al by not bonding to a handle substrate to form a free standing GaN film, which can be used in the production of laser diodes, as taught by Beaumont et al (col 5, ln 1-10) by cooling to room temperature after deposition because deposition occurs at a sufficient temperature for separation.

Referring to claim 13, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches implanting 1500 nm into the middle of the GaN layer ('535 [0067] and Fig 1a).

Referring to claims 14-15, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches sapphire substrate ('850 col 9, ln 40-65).

Referring to claim 17, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches doping with Mg ('850 col 7, ln 1-67).

Referring to claims 18-19, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al a GaN film with a thickness of 1-1000 micrometers ('850 col 5, ln 1-10), overlapping ranges are held to be *prima facie* obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

Referring to claim 20-21, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches the substrate, which can be used for the claimed intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,358

Art Unit: 1722

Referring to claim 22-23, the combination of Kub et al and Beaumont et al teaches laser

Page 6

diodes ('850 col 2, ln 1-10).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Matthew J Song Examiner Art Unit 1722

MJS January 4, 2007